



3/10/2022

Perkins V Postsecondary SDPL Revisions

Katie Vaccari, System Office Research

Stacy Karl, System Office Research

Agenda

- **Introduction**
- **Overview of Definitions, Current Performance Status**
- **Path to Decision to Revise**
- **Proposed Revision Methodology**
- **Proposed SDPL Revisions**
- **Comments? Questions?**

Updates to Postsecondary Accountability

- If you requested a SDPL revision via the survey in Nov/Dec, you should have received an email on March 2, 2022 from Karl Ohrn regarding the status of your request.
- The site to provide rationale for the revisions to postsecondary SDPLs and an opportunity to provide public comment opened on Tuesday, March 8 and will remain open through 11:59 pm April 6, 2022. www.MinnState.edu/CTEPublicComment
- All postsecondary consortia leaders were sent an email the morning of March 8, 2022 from Katie Vaccari with an attachment containing your consortium-specific proposed revisions to the SDPLs for 2022-2024.
 - Consortia in Improvement Plan status for 2021 on a performance indicator can't adjust or revise SDPLs for future years.

Postsecondary Core Indicators

1P1: Postsecondary Retention and Placement

- Measures retention (postsecondary enrollment) or placement (employment) of CTE concentrators 6 months after CTE award completion


2P1: Earned Recognized Postsecondary Credential

- Measures CTE program/award completion of CTE concentrators within the cohort timeframe

3P1: Nontraditional Program Enrollment

- Measures nontraditional gender CTE concentrator enrollment in nontraditional CTE program(s)

Current State of Affairs – State Level



Two Routes for State Determined Performance Level (SDPL) Adjustment

Prior to Third Program Year

- Section 113(b)(3)(A)(ii)
- State staff will monitor methodology and determine if adjustments needed
- Systematic Changes
- Most likely state-wide changes
- Required to be higher than actual performance for prior two years
- Public comment needed for any adjustments to state performance levels

Unanticipated Circumstances

- Section 113(b)(3)(A)(iii)
- Can request any year when unanticipated circumstances arise
- States required to issue objective criteria and methods for revisions
- Compare levels of performance with similar consortia
- Justify deviating from standard methodology
- Public comment needed for any adjustments to state performance levels

Note: if the state or a consortium is on an Improvement Plan status for an indicator in 2021, the SDPL for that indicator cannot be adjusted.

Path to Revising Postsecondary SDPLs

- **Performance Level Adjustment Request Survey opportunity for consortia to request revisions**
 - Provide information and rationale describing how consortium was impacted by the pandemic
- **Reviewed current and historical Perkins V enrollment and performance data trends**
- **Reviewed various pieces of data that would have direct impact on one or more of the postsecondary core indicators**
 - State/national enrollment, recent entering cohort retention rates, unemployment trends, award completions
- **Reviewed methodology used in original target-setting exercise**
- **Considered other issues unique to Minnesota**
 - Cohort data structure and departure of a postsecondary consortia following baseline year

Postsecondary Data: Cohort Reporting Structure

- Postsecondary uses a cohort model to structure the accountability data
- Cohort model involves identifying students entering an institution during a fiscal year and tracking them forward through two additional fiscal years

FY 2020 – 2022 Cohort

FY 2020			FY 2021			FY 2022		
Enter Summer 2019	Fall 2019	Spring 2020	Summer 2020	Fall 2020	Spring 2021	Summer 2021	Fall 2021	Spring 2022
	Enter Fall 2019	Spring 2020	Summer 2020	Fall 2020	Spring 2021	Summer 2021	Fall 2021	Spring 2022
		Enter Spring 2020	Summer 2020	Fall 2020	Spring 2021	Summer 2021	Fall 2021	Spring 2022

Methodological Parameters

- State and consortia should make meaningful progress every year
- Aggregate must at least equal state performance level
- Take into account differences among consortia
- Reasonable based on historical differences
- Acknowledge that not all consortia will reach set SDPL

Goal of SDPL Revision:


- Set performance goals that hold the state and consortia accountable (i.e., that are still challenging) but are more realistic, considering the impacts of the pandemic

Proposed Revisions to SDPLs


All Indicators

- If on Improvement Plan status, SDPLs cannot be adjusted or revised
- Decreasing SDPLs to be 90% of year 1 State SDPL *
 - *recalculated to remove Carlton Co.
- Revise original methodology for estimating performance
 - Apportion consortia numerator and denominator based on multiple years of data
- Hold decreased rate steady across grant years 2 through 4
 - Likely to see decreases each year, so holding target across years is meant to be the goal for continuous improvement
 - No increases to SDPLs, if methodology resulted in increase from year 1 SDPL, stay at year 1 SDPL

What state SDPL revisions are we proposing?



Methodology for Consortia Revisions



How does this play out in real life?

3P1												
	Actual Performance				Original/Current SDPL				Proposed SDPL Revisions			Decrease from Original 2021 to Proposed 2022
	2018	2019	2020	2021	2021	2022	2023	2024	2022	2023	2024	
Consortium A	9.87%	11.65%	12.15%	12.46%	11.76%	11.86%	12.08%	12.50%	10.40%	10.40%	10.40%	1.36%
Consortium B	10.05%	6.84%	11.01%	10.27%	6.95%	7.06%	7.29%	7.74%	6.95%	6.95%	6.95%	0.00%
Consortium C	8.55%	9.74%	11.04%	10.31%	9.85%	9.96%	10.18%	10.61%	8.94%	8.94%	8.94%	0.91%
Consortium D	7.35%	9.57%	8.06%	5.64%	9.68%	9.79%	10.01%	10.45%	9.79%	10.01%	10.45%	On IP Status
Consortium E	6.49%	9.22%	11.07%	11.09%	9.33%	9.44%	9.66%	10.10%	8.56%	8.56%	8.56%	0.77%
Consortium F	14.22%	15.30%	9.53%	16.71%	15.40%	15.50%	15.71%	16.12%	12.62%	12.62%	12.62%	2.78%
Consortium G	12.43%	14.06%	15.52%	13.74%	14.16%	14.27%	14.48%	14.89%	12.63%	12.63%	12.63%	1.53%
State	13.22%	13.89%	13.98%	14.68%	14.00%	14.10%	14.31%	14.73%	12.54%	12.54%	12.54%	1.46%

Indicator Adjustment Summary

	1P1	2P1	3P1
Most Consortia Decreases	5 to 13%	3 to 7%	1 to 3%
State Decrease	9.14%	5.02%	1.46%

What next?

- **More information on methodology details:**
 - Read rationale on public comment page: www.minnstate.edu/CTEPublicComment
 - Email Katie or Stacy with questions or to meet and walk through methodology details
- **Review proposed revisions to your SDPLs**
 - Questions or comments?
 - Reach out to us or the CTE staff to ask questions or to send comments/feedback
 - Use the form on the Public Comment site to provide feedback
- **Watch for communication this summer for approved updates to state and consortium SDPLs**
 - OCTAE reviews/accepts proposed revisions after state plan is submitted in May 2022

Questions? Comments? Feedback?

THANK YOU!

If you have questions or want to set up a session to review, please contact us.

Karl Ohrn

Karl.Ohrn@minnstate.edu

Katie Vaccari

Katie.Vaccari@minnstate.edu

Stacy Karl

Stacy.Karl@minnstate.edu