

## **Perkins V Postsecondary Accountability**

9/26/2024

Katie Vaccari, System Institutional Research

## **Agenda**

- ✓ Overview of Perkins V Postsecondary data structure, accountability definitions and reporting timelines
- ✓ Review of how State Determined Performance Levels (SDPLs) are established and how they are used to evaluate performance (i.e., Improvement Plan required)
- ✓ Where to find the accountability data
  - Perkins V Reporting app in Power BI
  - CTE website
- ✓ Data sharing/data privacy
- ✓ Updates
- ✓ Resources



## **Learning Outcomes**

- 1. Understand Perkins V Postsecondary data structure, accountability definitions and reporting timelines.
- 2. Know where to find/review your consortium's accountability data.
- 3. Understand how State Determined Performance Levels (SDPLs) are established.
- 4. Know how SDPLs are used to evaluate performance (i.e., Improvement Plan required).
- 5. Understand what it means to be on Improvement Plan Status.
- 6. Know where to find/review your consortium's summary performance reports and determine if your consortium is on an Improvement Plan for an indicator.



### **Perkins V Grant Accountability**

Programs and/or people the grant is designed to impact;
Report on number of people impacted

## Perkins-Eligible Programs

 Academic programs the grant is designed to impact

## Participants and Concentrators

- Students in the academic programs the grant is designed to impact
- Subgroups of students within these

Report performance measures and goals;
Program Evaluation

## Performance Indicators

 Measures to assess the effectiveness of grant recipients in achieving progress and desired impact of grant


#### Performance Levels

 Goals to compare actual performance on measures to desired performance on measures



# How do I know what my institution's Perkins-eligible (CTE) programs are?

Location: Power BI\ASA All Access\Program Inventory – Programs and CTE report





# Postsecondary Data: Reporting Structure for Minnesota

- Postsecondary uses a cohort model to structure the accountability data.
- The cohort model involves identifying students entering an institution during a fiscal year and tracking them forward through two additional fiscal years.

2022 - 2024 Cohort

	FY 2022			FY 2023		FY 2024				
Enter										
Summer	Fall	Spring	Summer	Fall	Spring	Summer	Fall	Spring		
2021	2021	2022	2022	2022	2023	2023	2023	2024		
	Enter									
	Fall	Spring	Summer	Fall	Spring	Summer	Fall	Spring		
	2021	2022	2022	2022	2023	2023	2023	2024		
		Enter								
		Spring	Summer	Fall	Spring	Summer	Fall	Spring		
		2022	2022	2022	2023	2023	2023	2024		



# Postsecondary Participant and Concentrator Definitions

**Participant**: A student enrolled in a Minnesota State two-year college who belongs to a particular CTE entering cohort and who:

- Earned more than zero college level credits (cumulative) AND, within the cohort timeframe, was enrolled in a CTE program/award level <u>OR</u>
- Earned more than zero college level credits in CTE course(s).

**Concentrator**: A student enrolled in a Minnesota State two-year college who belongs to a particular CTE entering cohort and who:

- Is enrolled in a long-term (12 or more credits) CTE program/award level AND earned 12 or more college level credits (cumulative) **OR**
- Completed a CTE award in a short-term (less than 12 credit) CTE program within the cohort timeframe.

(Students enrolled in a short-term program who have not yet completed the program are counted as participants)

**Note**: Although Participant enrollment is reported in the federal Consolidated Annual Report (CAR), the performance indicator measures are based on Concentrators (or subsets of Concentrators).



## Postsecondary Core Indicator 1p1: Postsecondary Retention and Placement

The percentage of CTE concentrators who completed a CTE program within the cohort and who are enrolled in postsecondary education or employed during the 2nd quarter after program completion.

• **Note**: Reporting is delayed by one cohort to allow time to track enrollment and/or employment following program completion.

#### **Operational Definition**

- **Numerator:** Number of CTE concentrators in the cohort who, during the second quarter following program completion, are enrolled in postsecondary education or employed.
- Denominator: Number of CTE concentrators who complete a CTE program/award (i.e., certificate, diploma, AAS or AS) within the cohort timeframe.



# Postsecondary Core Indicator 2p1: Earned Recognized Postsecondary Credential

The percentage of CTE concentrators who complete a CTE program/award within the cohort timeframe.

#### **Operational Definition**

- **Numerator:** Number of CTE concentrators who complete a CTE program/award (i.e., receive a CTE certificate, diploma, AAS or AS) within the cohort timeframe.
- **Denominator:** Number of CTE concentrators in the cohort.



### Postsecondary Core Indicator 3p1: Nontraditional Program Enrollment

The percentage of CTE concentrators enrolled in CTE programs that lead to non-traditional fields who are enrolled in a CTE program that is nontraditional for their gender.

#### **Operational Definition**

- Numerator: Number of CTE concentrators in the cohort who are enrolled in a CTE program classified as nontraditional for their gender.
- Denominator: Number of CTE concentrators in the cohort enrolled in a CTE program classified as nontraditional.

### **Data Disaggregation in Accountability Reporting**

Gender

Race/Ethnicity

**Career Cluster** 

#### **Special Populations**

- Individuals with disabilities
- Individuals from economically disadvantaged families, including low-income youth and adults
- Individuals preparing for non-traditional fields
- Single parents, including single pregnant women
- Out-of-workforce individuals
- English learners
- Homeless individuals described in section 725 of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11434a)
- Youth who are in, or have aged out of, the foster care system
- Youth with a parent who
  - i. Is a member of the armed forces; and
  - ii. Is on active duty

(For postsecondary reporting, youth includes students not younger than 14 and not older than 24)



# State Determined Performance Levels (SDPLs) – Grant Years 1 through 4

Performance targets (goals/SDPLs) for all three postsecondary performance indicators (1P1, 2P1, 3P1) for the first four years of the Perkins V grant were **set by the state** in accordance with legislative requirements:

- 1. Expressed as percent or number (to be measurable)
- 2. Require continuous improvement in performance for all CTE concentrators
- 3. Subject to public comment process
- 4. In alignment with goals in state plan

#### **Minnesota State Methodology**

- Based on modeled historical performance on indicators
- Established the SDPLS for the state first and determined a reasonable increase for each grant year
- Established the SDPLS for each consortia by apportioning a share of the state's increase
- Original SDPLs and detailed methodology are available in the 2020 State Plan (starting on page 69 of 77) on the Minnesota State CTE webpage <a href="https://minnstate.edu/system/cte/Strengthening-CTE/index.html">https://minnstate.edu/system/cte/Strengthening-CTE/index.html</a>



### **SDPLs – Grant Years 5 through 8**

- » To guide the states in setting SDPLs for Perkins V Grant Years 5-8, OCTAE applied language in the Perkins legislation [Sec. 113 (b)(3)(A)(ii)] on allowable adjustments to the process.
- » In addition to requirements mentioned on the previous slide, the new SDPLs needed to be higher than the average actual performance of the two most recently completed program years (i.e., the average of Grant Year 2 & 3 actual performance was reviewed to establish Grant Year 5 SDPLs, with continuous improvement in the following years).
- » Exception: adjustments due to the "unanticipated circumstances" provision. This allows for adjustment of SDPL lower than the baseline (average of two most recent years of actual performance) given you provide detailed rationale about the unanticipated circumstance/impact on performance.
- » A consortium's opportunity to adjust an SDPL for the upcoming year is on the <u>Annual Performance Report (APR)</u> (an optional item at the end).

### SDPLs – Grant Years 5 through 8

- » In early-mid 2023, Minnesota State reviewed data/methodologies for target setting, selecting the Linear Probability Model (using the slope of historical data).
- » Minnesota State proposed state-level SDPLs for all three postsecondary indicators for Grant Years 5 8, which consequently went out for public comment.
- » Minnesota State reviewed methodologies for determining local SDPLs, ultimately apportioning state targets to consortia by a weighted average of size, performance, and a third factor matching the state rate to set proposed consortium-level SDPLs for 1P1, 2P1 and 3P1 for Grant Years 5 through 8.
- » In April 2024, OCTAE clarified if states were not writing a new 4-year state plan:
  - They could only submit SDPLs for Grant Year 5, and then annually thereafter.
  - They had to annually recalculate the two-year performance average baseline and confirm the next year of established SDPLs were higher than that.



### SDPLs – Grant Years 5 through 8

- » Minnesota submitted a state plan revision that included only Grant Year 5 SDPLs. Those are now approved/accepted. Grant Year 6-8 SDPLs are still 'proposed' and will need to be reviewed annually to determine if adjustments are needed.
- » You can find your Grant Year 5-8 SDPLs on the Minnesota State CTE website on the <u>Perkins</u> <u>Consortia and Contacts</u> page (scroll to your consortia, then look at the **State-Determined** <u>Performance Level (SDPL) Report</u>).

Table 2. Postsecondary State Determined Levels of Performance and Actual Performance Rate by Grant Reporting Year

		Perki	ns V Perfo	rmance		Proposed SDPLs						
Indicator Name	Baseline (2020)	GY1 (2021)	GY2 (2022)	GY3 (2023)	GY4 (2024)	Baseline (2022+2023)	GY5 (2025)	GY6 (2026)	GY7 (2027)	GY8 (2028)		
1P1: Postsecondary Retention and Placement	95.00%	90.70%	90.66%	93.15%		91.90%	92.11%	₹92.32%	<b>₹92.53</b> %	<b>₹92.73%</b>		
2P1: Earned Recognized Postsecondary Credential	45.90%	50.17%	51.02%	52.99%		52.00%	52.84%	₹53.67%	₹54.51%	₹55.35%		
3P1: Nontraditional Program Enrollment	15.52%	13.74%	13.02%	14.90%		*18.43%	18.67%	₹18.90%	₹19.14%	₹19.38%		

<sup>\*3</sup>P1 baseline increased due to implementation of the 2020 NAPE Nontraditional Occupations Crosswalk

# **Evaluation of Performance and Improvement Plan Status**

#### How is performance evaluated?

 Any performance indicator for which a consortium's actual indicator performance falls below 90% of the SDPL will be put on Improvement Plan Status

#### What does it mean to be on Improvement Plan Status?

#### It means:

- You are required to develop, submit, and implement an Improvement Plan and submit additional information along with the application (typically due in May)
- You are not eligible to request adjustments to SDPLs while IMPLEMENTING an Improvement Plan
- If you remain on Improvement Plan status for an indicator for 3 consecutive years or you fail to implement an Improvement Plan while on IP status, you could be subject to subsequent action, including withholding of funds

#### How do I know if my consortium is on Improvement Plan Status?

- Data team annually posts consortium summary performance reports, including IP status for all indicators, here: https://www.minnstate.edu/system/cte/perkins-consortia.html
- Notification of official status along with additional details and direction are also sent by the State Director.



## **Data Sources for Postsecondary Accountability Data**

Enrollment Population or	
Indicator	Data Source
CTE Participants/CTE	ISRS (Integrated Statewide Record System)/related data warehouse tables on enrollment,
Concentrators	earned credits, majors, program completion, etc.
1p1/Postsecondary	ISRS (Integrated Statewide Record System)/related data warehouse tables on program
Retention and Placement	completion, Graduate Follow-up Survey responses, etc.
	National Student Clearinghouse data on transfers to other colleges/universities
	Minnesota Department of Employment & Economic Development (DEED) data on
	employment/wage records during the calendar quarter that is the 2 <sup>nd</sup> calendar quarter after
	program completion
2p1/Earned Recognized	ISRS (Integrated Statewide Record System)/related data warehouse tables on enrollment,
Postsecondary Credential	majors, program completion, etc.
3p1/Nontraditional Program	ISRS (Integrated Statewide Record System)/related data warehouse tables on enrollment,
Enrollment	majors, demographics
Special Populations	ISRS (Integrated Statewide Record System)/related data warehouse tables on demographic
	data, FAFSA or other financial aid data, majors, enrollment, placement/background questions
	on Accuplacer, etc.

## **Postsecondary Reporting Timeline**

**CTE Enrollment** 

							Rep	orting Year		
						Baseline	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
	Grant	Cohort								
	Year	Tracked	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
CTE Participant and	Baseline	2018-2020		Enter	Track	Report				
Concentrator Enrollment	Year 1	2019-2021			Enter	Track	Report			
	Year 2	2020-2022				Enter	Track	Report		
	Year 3	2021-2023					Enter	Track	Report	
	Year 4	2022-2024						Enter	Track	Report

#### **Accountability Performance Indicators**

							Rep	orting Year		
						Baseline*	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
	Grant	Cohort								
Core Indicator	Year	Tracked	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
1P1 (Postsecondary	Baseline	2017-2019	Enter	Track	Complete	Report				
Retention and Placement)	Year 1	2018-2020		Enter	Track	Complete	Report			
	Year 2	2019-2021			Enter	Track	Complete	Report		
	Year 3	2020-2022				Enter	Track	Complete	Report	
	Year 4	2021-2023					Enter	Track	Complete	Report
2P1 (Earned Recognized	Baseline	2018-2020		Enter	Track	Report				
Postsecondary Credential)	Year 1	2019-2021			Enter	Track	Report			
and 3P1 (Nontraditional	Year 2	2020-2022				Enter	Track	Report		
Program Enrollment)	Year 3	2021-2023					Enter	Track	Report	
	Year 4	2022-2024						Enter	Track	Report

<sup>\*</sup> No performance levels set for Baseline Year.

### Postsecondary Perkins V Accountability Data in Power BI

- ➤ Log into Office 365
  - Open the Power BI app (<u>https://app.powerbi.com/home</u>)
  - Find and open the Perkins V Reporting app
- For more detailed information on how to log in or find the Power BI or Perkins V reporting app:
  - Attend Power BI webinar training <u>October 24, 2024</u> at 10am
  - Refer to the slides/webinar recording from the Power BI training on October
     19, 2023 in the archived webinars from July 2023 through June 2024

https://www.minnstate.edu/system/cte/professionaldevelopment/monthly-webinars.html



### Power BI – Getting Access to the Perkins V Reporting app

- To request access to the Perkins V Reporting Power BI app, please send an email to Karl Ohrn (<u>Karl.Ohrn@minnstate.edu</u>) or Katie Vaccari (<u>Katie.Vaccari@minnstate.edu</u>).
- If you are not a Consortium Leader, please indicate the business reason for this access.
- Access was given to consortia leaders and other limited staff, as requested. There is an expectation that consortium leaders will share the data as needed/appropriate.



## **Consortium Indicator Performance Reports on MN State CTE website**

- CTE Website https://www.minnstate.edu/system/cte/index.html
  - **Perkins Consortia** page
    - Find your consortium folder
      - Consortium Indicator Performance Report
- > Perkins Consortia page:

https://www.minnstate.edu/system/cte/perkins-consortia.html



## **Consortium Indicator Performance Report**

#### Page 1

#### Perkins V State Performance Report Minnesota January 23, 2024

The following report displays Minnesota's:

- . current established performance levels (i.e., targets) for the four Perkins V grant years (Table 1, column SDPL),
- · actual performance on the seven secondary core indicators and three postsecondary core indicators (Table 1, column Actual Perf %),
- · notification that an improvement plan is required based upon performance (Table 1, column IP Req?), and
- · counts of secondary and postsecondary participants and concentrators (Table 2).

Table 1 provides a summary of Minnesota's established targets for performance on your Perkins V secondary and postsecondary performance indicators, as well as the state's actual performance on those indicators for each grant year where final data are available, including the baseline year.

This report provides an official indication of whether Minnesota is required to complete an Improvement Plan (IP) for any of the core indicators for each grant year. The state and individual consortia are required to submit an improvement plan for any core indicator where actual performance is less than 90% of the established performance level for that indicator.

This document will be updated at least annually to reflect actual performance rates once data are final. Updates will also be made if there are adjustments to state determined performance levels (SDPLs). Previous updates are noted at the end of the document.

If you have questions about these reports, please contact Karl Ohrn <u>karl.ohrn@minnstate.edu</u> or Michelle Kamenov <u>Michelle Kamenov@state.mnu.s.</u> If you have questions about your data, please contact Karl-Ann Ediger (secondary data) <u>Karl-Ann Ediger (secondary data) Karl-Ann Ediger (secondary data) (secondary </u>





#### Page 2

#### Perkins V State Performance Report Minnesota January 23, 2024

The table below displays the State Determined Performance Level/target (column SDPL), actual performance percentage (Actual Perf %), and notification of whether an Improvement Plan (IP) is required (IP Req?) for every accountability indicator each grant year. The year shown following the Grant Year label indicates the reporting year of the data in alignment with the corresponding federal CAR (Consolidated Annual Report) grave. For secondary indicators, reporting years align with school years (e.g. 2021 reflects school year 2020-21), with the exception of 1S1 and 3S1 which both lag by one year (e.g. students who graduated, enrolled in postsecondary and/or joined the workforce in school year 2019-20 are displayed in 2021 data). For postsecondary indicators 2P1 and 3P1, reporting years reflect the last year of the three-year cohort reported (e.g. reporting year 2021 reports on cohort 2019-2021). For postsecondary indicator 1P1, the cohort reporting lags by one year (e.g. reporting year 2021 reflects data from cohort 2018-2020).

Table 1. Secondary State Determined Levels of Performance, Actual Performance Rate, and Improvement Plan Status by
Grant Reporting Year

	Baseline	Grant Year 1 (2021)		Grant Year 2 (2022)			Gran	it Year 3 (2	2023)	Gran	t Year 4 (2	2024)	
Indicator Name	(2020)	SDPL	Actual Perf %	IP Req?	SDPL	Actual Perf %	IP Req?	SDPL	Actual Perf %	IP Req?	SDPL	Actual Perf %	IP Req
151: Graduation Rate (4- year)	92.21%	54.95%	92.66%		54.99%	92.09%		55.08%	93.29%		55.26%		
251: Academic Proficiency: Reading/Language Arts	N/A*	11.27%	57.48%		11.33%	55.25%		11.45%	50.91%		11.68%		
252: Academic Proficiency: Mathematics	N/A*	9.08%	39.73%		9.11%	36.55%		9.17%	36.36%		9.29%		
253: Academic Proficiency: Science	N/A**	N/A**	N/A**		N/A**	N/A**		N/A**	41.83%		N/A**		
3S1: Post-Program Placement	65.70%	48.43%	61.93%		48.49%	56.44%		48.61%	53.65%		48.84%		
4S1: Nontraditional Program Concentration	36.31%	9.44%	31.56%		9.49%	29.37%		9.59%	26.91%		9.79%		
553: Program Quality: Work-Based Learning	13.08%	4.33%	9.98%		4.34%	21.34%		4.37%	14.02%		4.41%		

If the performance rate on an indicator falls below 90% of the established SDPL for the indicator/grant reporting year, an improvement plan (IP) is required.

\*Due to the COVID-19 peacetime emergency, MCA (Minnesota Comprehensive Assessment) testing was canceled, therefore, no Reading or Mathematics academic achievement data are available to report for SY2019-20. Across SY2020-21 secondary districts shifted from remote to in-person learning at 1 different times and lengths of time. \*\*The grade in which the Science seassement is Offered to students in MN depends upon when students complete the Life Sciences requirements during high school. The timing of this course can vary from one school year to the next and from one district to another. Therefore, while MN reports the Science data to OCTAE, we are not required to set SDPLs for this series manage indicator. Data for the Science assessment was not available until \$75022-23.



## **Consortium Indicator Performance Report**

#### Page 3

#### Table 2. Postsecondary State Determined Levels of Performance, Actual Performance Rate, and Improvement Plan Status by Grant Reporting Year

	Baseline	Grant Year 1 (2021)			Grant Year 2 (2022)			Grant Year 3 (2023)			Grant Year 4 (2024)		
Indicator Name	(2020)	SDPL	Actual Perf %	IP Req?	SDPL	Actual Perf %		SDPL	Actual Perf %	IP Req?	SDPL	Actual Perf %	
1P1: Postsecondary Retention and Placement	90.77%	91.12%	90.24%		81.98%	89.40%		81.98%	92.20%		81.98%		
2P1: Earned Recognized Postsecondary Credential	50.68%	50.60%	52.48%		45.58%	51.28%		45.58%	53.36%		45.58%		
3P1: Nontraditional Program Enrollment	13.98%	14.00%	14.68%		12.54%	14.34%		12.54%	14.95%		12.54%		

If the performance rate on an indicator falls below 90% of the established SDPL for the indicator/grant reporting year, an improvement plan (IP) is required.

Table 3. Participant and Concentrator Enrollment by Grant Reporting Year

Enrollment	Baseline (2020)	Grant Year 1 (2021)	Grant Year 2 (2022)	Grant Year 3 (2023)	Grant Year 4 (2024)
Secondary Participants	121,141	121,915	125,767	124,056	
Secondary Concentrators	73,575	72,981	76,721	78,988	
Postsecondary Participants	45,541	44,400	43,074	39,219	
Postsecondary Concentrators	20,612	20,287	19,593	17,613	

#### Additional information:

Perkins V Accountability (Scroll to "Accountability Resources" bar/section)

Secondary Perkins Definitions and Postsecondary Perkins Definitions

Secondary Data Site (requires system login/account to use)

Postsecondary Data Site (Power BI reports require Minnesota State system login/account to use)

#### Page 4

#### Performance Report Updates:

- January 2024 Reporting year 2023 performance data added
- February 2023 Reporting year 2022 performance data added
- September 2022 Postsecondary SDPL updates to approved SPDL revisions due to unanticipated circumstances
- February 2022 Reporting year 2021 performance data added
- July 2021 Secondary SDPL updates to approved SDPL revisions due to unanticipated circumstances
- March 2021 Postsecondary correction to 1P1 SDPL

## **Consortium Indicator Performance Report Example\***

» \*Grant year performance data and SDPLs shown are not real; data are for demonstration purpose only

Table 1. State Determined Levels of Performance, Actual Performance Rate, and IP Status by Grant Reporting Year

	Baseline	Grant Year 1 (2021)			Gran	t Year 2 (2	2022)	Gran	t Year 3 (2	2023)	Grant Year 4 (2024)		
Indicator Name	(2020)	SDPL	Actual Perf %	IP Req?	SDPL	Actual Perf %	IP Req?	SDPL	Actual Perf %	IP Req?	SDPL	Actual Perf %	IP Req?
1S1: Graduation Rate (4- year)	92.21%	91.80%	92.25%		55.46%	93.26%		92.71%			93.93%		
2S1: Academic Proficiency: Reading/Language Arts	N/A+	56.35%	56.00%		11.96%	45.87%		57.23%			58.41%		
2S2: Academic Proficiency: Mathematics	N/A+	45.40%	44.10%		9.63%	34.33%		45.84%			46.44%		
3S1: Post-Program Placement	65.70%	48.43%	66.10%		48.49%	61.56%		48.61%			48.84%		
4S1: Nontraditional Program Concentration	36.31%	37.77%	32.13%	Yes	9.59%	22.98%		38.35%			39.14%		
5S3: Program Quality: Work-Based Learning	13.08%	17.31%	13.10%	Yes	5.64%	1.92%	Yes	17.46%			17.65%		
1P1: Postsecondary Retention and Placement	90.77%	91.12%	90.88%		81.32%	80.67%		91.89%			92.92%		
2P1: Earned Recognized Postsecondary Credential	50.68%	50.60%	49.50%		47.91%	55.12%		51.68%			53.12%		
3P1: Nontraditional Program Enrollment	13.98%	14.00%	12.35%	Yes	9.89%	7.45%	Yes	14.31%			14.73%		

## **Data Sharing/Data Privacy**

A reminder that a MOU or Data Sharing Agreement should be in place when sharing sensitive/private data:

- Between secondary and postsecondary partners
- With contracted services/third parties
- With advisory committees, stakeholders, business and industry partners, etc.



## **Data Sharing/Data Privacy**

#### **Some general guidelines for sharing data without a MOU/data sharing agreement in place:**

- Share **summary** level data. Summary data is ok to share. (e.g., "92% of all CTE Concentrators graduated within four years")
- If sharing data that contains cell sizes under 10, those should be suppressed with complementary suppression, if needed.
  - (e.g., AmInd (N=15), Asian (N=25), Black (N=50), Haw/PI (N=2), White (N=1,200), Unk (N=18), Total=1,310. In your report, you could suppress Haw/PI and Unk.)

#### Other options for reporting when the cell sizes are under 10:

- For race/ethnicity, if you have the option of combining categories (i.e., Student of Color/not Student of Color), and it makes sense in terms of what the data show you, do that
- Combine multiple years of data together to increase cell sizes
- Use narrative to describe what the data support rather than providing actual numbers (i.e., "The
  concentrator population in our Manufacturing career cluster lacks diversity, with the majority
  being white males.")



## **Updates**

- NAPE's 2020 non-traditional gender designations and cluster/pathway recommendations were implemented late September/early October 2023, impacting reporting year 2024 forward.
- State and consortia SDPLs for Grant Year 5 are approved; SDPLs for Grant Year 6 and later are "proposed" but will only change if necessary.
- Final Reporting Year 2024 data for postsecondary are available in Perkins V Power BI app.
- Power BI webinar Thursday, October 24 (10:00 am)
- Consortia Leader meeting on Tuesday, October 1 @ the Minnesota Department of Education.

## Postsecondary Accountability Resources and Links

- Perkins V Accountability Resources:
  <a href="https://minnstate.edu/system/cte/consortium\_resources/index.html">https://minnstate.edu/system/cte/consortium\_resources/index.html</a> (scroll to Accountability Resources)
- Postsecondary Accountability Definitions and Data Structure Documentation: <a href="https://www.minnstate.edu/system/cte/consortium\_resources/documents/Perkins-V-Report-Structure-and-Definitions.pdf">https://www.minnstate.edu/system/cte/consortium\_resources/documents/Perkins-V-Report-Structure-and-Definitions.pdf</a>
- Consortium Indicator Performance Reports: <a href="https://minnstate.edu/system/cte/perkins-consortia.html">https://minnstate.edu/system/cte/perkins-consortia.html</a> (in consortium folder)
- Postsecondary Reporting (go to Power BI, then to the 'Perkins V Reporting' app): http://portal.office.com/
- Minnesota State Plan: <a href="https://minnstate.edu/system/cte/Strengthening-CTE/index.html">https://minnstate.edu/system/cte/Strengthening-CTE/index.html</a>
- Professional Development/Monthly webinars: <a href="https://minnstate.edu/system/cte/professionaldevelopment/monthly-webinars.html">https://minnstate.edu/system/cte/professionaldevelopment/monthly-webinars.html</a>
- Minnesota State Service Portal: <a href="https://servicedesk.minnstate.edu/TDClient/30/Portal/Home/">https://servicedesk.minnstate.edu/TDClient/30/Portal/Home/</a>



#### **THANK YOU!**

If you have questions or want to set up a session to review Power BI/available reports, please contact us.

Katie Vaccari

Katie.Vaccari@minnstate.edu

Russ Dahlke

Russ.Dahlke@minnstate.edu

