The following questions were addressed to the campus:

1. Is there a full set of original building documents (architectural, mechanical, electrical, structural) available for the respondents use at this time, or only for the awarded firm? Existing drawings will be made available for the successful firm. The pre-design document provided should give enough existing building information to determine an appropriate fee for the project.

2. Will the State Plan Review be handled as a reimbursable expense? If so, should the respondents include an anticipated fee for the plan review in the reimbursable breakdown based on the anticipated construction cost mentioned in the RFP? The state plan review will be a reimbursable expense for the design team. There is no need for the Owner to have this number at this time.

3. On page 11 of the RFP “Services Provided by Others” describes Construction Testing. Does construction testing include masonry mortar testing? If so, should the respondents include anticipated testing fees in the reimbursables breakdown of their response, or will the Owner handle this cost directly with the testing vendor? The owner will cover the testing costs directly with the vendor.

4. Would it be acceptable for all respondents to base their price on full-time roof observations on 96 roof working days (24 weeks of construction)? If not, please provide the number of weeks or roof working days intended for this project. Page 7 of the pre-design report listed (15) weeks of full-time roof observation and another (4) weeks of observation related to other ancillary items. This can be the basis for the purpose of the proposal.

Paul DeMuth, Director of Operations, DCTC & IHCC

cc: Justine Pliska, Minnesota State